

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/942,567	08/31/2001	Ryusuke Kawate	213026US2	7591	
22850 7590 06/29/2000			EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			PHAN, HANH		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613		
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	2	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0			
•	Advisory Action	09/942,567	KAWATE ET AL.				
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		Hanh Phan	2613				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE	E REPLY FILED 26 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a)	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in berappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below);				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
<u>.</u>	<u> </u>						
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. 🖾	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: 19-26.						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			44 4 4			
3. ∐	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	or be entered s necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:			
_	2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13.							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments to independent claims 19 and 23 are not persuasive. In independent claims 19 and 23 include the limitation of "said optical line termination is configured to send a first passive optical network section trace (PST) message, and said first optical network unit is configured to receive said first PST message and is configured to switch transmission of data traffic to said optical line termination along either one of said working optical network and said standby optical network based on said first PST message" and applicant argues that the cited references (Kumozaki, Touma and Klink) fail to teach such limitation. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Although Kumozaki does not specifically teach an optical line terminal sends a passive optical network section trace (PST) message, and an optical network unit is configured to receive the PST message and is configured to switch transmission of data traffic to the optical line terminat along either one of the working optical network and the standby optical network based on the PST message, Kumozaki teaches an optical line terminal (100, Fig. 1) sends a command signal to an optical network unit (330, Fig. 1) and the optical network unit (300) is configured to receive the command signal and is configured to switch transmission of data traffic to the optical line termination along either one of the working optical network and the standby optical network based on the command signal (col. 10, lines 54-61 and col. 21, lines 17-26). Furthermore, Klink teaches sending a message including a control information K1 contains an information item on the local control criteria and a control information K2 contains an information item on the the state of the local change-over switch and an optical network unit is configured to receive the control informations K1 and K2 and is configured to switch transmission of data traffic to the optical line termination along either one of the working optical network and the standby optical network based on the control informations K1 and K2 (col. 5, lines 8-43). Therefore, it is believed that the limitations of claims 19-26 are still met by the combination of Kumozaki, Touma and Klink and the rejection is still

> HANH PHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER